

June 29, 2021

Minding Your P's and Q's: How to Properly Assert and Maintain the Empty Chair Defense

By Phillip Sarnowski

The "Empty Chair" defense, or so it has been called, is an affirmative defense under Ohio law that allows a defendant in a tort action to seek an allocation of fault to one or more parties that are not a party to the lawsuit under R.C. 2307.23. This is an effective tool that can be used to deflect wrongdoing to a party that is immune, like the plaintiff's employer, for example, or a party that has already settled with the plaintiff and has been dismissed from the suit already. However, certain procedural and substantive requirements must be followed in order to properly use the Empty Chair defense.

For example, it is an affirmative defense that must be raised before trial and defendants must specifically state the person, persons, or entities for which an allocation of fault will be sought at trial. Best practice is to assert this defense in a responsive pleading like an Answer. But the requirements do not end there— a defendant must further put on evidence demonstrating tortious conduct on the part of the Empty Chair defendants. In negligence cases, this means that there must be testimony or some other admissible evidence that the non-party breached a duty owed to the plaintiff and caused them harm. This last step was overlooked by the defendants in *Fairrow v. OhioHealth Corporation*, resulting in a trial court refusing to allow the defendants to use the Empty Chair defense. The appellate court affirmed, holding it is not enough to simply assert the affirmative defense and seek an allocation of fault. 10th Dist. Franklin No. 19AP-828, 2020-Ohio-5595, ¶ 52.

Defense counsel must instead proactively think about how to demonstrate tortious conduct by the Empty Chair defendant and introduce evidence of that wrongdoing at trial to ensure that the jury has the opportunity to allocate fault to non-parties under R.C. 2307.23. Failure to follow these P's and Q's of the Empty Chair defense will preclude a defendant from seeking an allocation of fault to a non-party and result in an unjust or disproportionate award of damages against a defendant so it is important to retain counsel that knows how to navigate these requirements.

If you would like more information on this or other issues relating to transportation, please contact any of the listed attorneys.

Chris Cotter 330.849.6756 <u>ccotter@ralaw.com</u> Phillip Sarnowski 614.463.9770 | psarnowski@ralaw.com



This alert is informational only and should not be construed as legal advice. ©2021 Roetzel & Andress LPA. All rights reserved. For more information, please contact Roetzel's Marketing Department at 330.762.7725



Practical Advice. Real Solutions. That's the Roetzel way.

Emergency Response & Crisis Management

Our Emergency Response Team

provides comprehensive services, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week across a geographic scope that spans Ohio and into surrounding states that include Kentucky, Tennessee, Indiana, Pennsylvania, and Michigan.



Key Contacts:

Northeast Ohio and Western PA

<u>Chris Cotter</u> o: 330.849.6756 c: 330.819.1127 ccotter@ralaw.com

Central Ohio, SW Ohio, KY, TN

<u>Chad Sizemore</u> o: 513.361.8294 c: 513.846.5454 csizemore@ralaw.com

Indiana

Patrick Healy

o: 513.361.8298 c: 513.236.3764 phealy@ralaw.com

NW Ohio and Southern MI

Phil Heebsh

o: 419.708.5390 c: 419.242.0316? <u>pheebsh@ralaw.com</u>

Emergency Response & Crisis Management Practice Group Manager

Brad Wright o: 330.849.6629 c: 330.472.3656 bwright@ralaw.com

> **C** ROETZEL Practical Advice. Real Solutions.

ralaw.com

239.649.6200